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## What is a 'flash' sale?

- Retail platform
- Internet/social media/email
- Impulsive purchase decisions are easy
- Fixed or free shipping with minimum purchase
- Marketing tactics to increase profit
- Large perceived discounts
- Induced sense of urgency
- Appearance of scarcity


## Some US Flash Sellers

- Cellar Thief
- Cinderella Wine (I wine, 2/day)
- Invino (multiple wines, multiple days)
- Lot I8 (multiple wines, multiple days)
- Wine Spies (Ix/day)
- Wines ‘til Sold Out (Iwine, ~4/day)
- WineShopper (multiple wines, multiple days)
- Wine.Woot



## Caveats

- Price and ratings data are seriously incomplete, making inferences risky
- Known unknowns
- WTSO is not representative of the flash sale market
- Sample might not be representative of WTSO
- Subsamples are not representative of the sample


## Samples and Subsamples



## Sale Offers by Wine Origin



## Offer Prices and Ratings by Wine Origin

| Origin | Average <br> Offer <br> Price | Average <br> WTSO- <br> reported <br> Rating | Wine <br> Searcher <br> Composite <br> Rating |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US | $\$ 27.26^{1}$ | 91.12 | 89.32 |
| Foreign | $\$ 31.73^{2}$ | 91.58 | $89.42^{4}$ |
| US | $\$ 26.76^{1}$ | 91.47 | 89.69 |
| Europe | $\$ 32.92^{3}$ | 91.54 | $89.72^{5}$ |

${ }^{1}$ Values differ due to missing data.
${ }^{2} \mathrm{p}=.015$.
${ }^{3} p=.001$.
${ }^{4} p=.076$
${ }^{5} \mathrm{p}=.741$.

## NOT REALLY 'TIL SOLD OUT'

## Number of Offers by Time of Day



## Mean Prices by Time of Day



## Mean Prices by Time of Day



## Elapsed Time Between Offers



## Offers of the Same Wine

| Number of Offers | Count | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 444 | 38.2 |
| 2 | 164 | 14.1 |
| 3 | 53 | 4.6 |
| 4 | 34 | 2.9 |
| 5 | 10 | 0.9 |
| 6 | 5 | 0.4 |
| 7 | 1 | 0.1 |
| 8 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Total | 1,162 | $100.0 \%$ |

## RATINGS HYPOTHESES

## Does WTSO misrepresent ratings?

- Trusted ratings influence WTP
- Inflating ratings should permit higher offer prices
- 2011 analysis found
- WTSO reported highest WS/WA/ST only
- Using 90 and CA as bases,WTSO prices were - higher by $\$ 10.64$ for FR per average rating point not disclosed ( $\mathrm{p}<.00 \mathrm{I}$ )
- Others ranged from -\$3.96(CH) to $\$ 6.86$ (AR), but not significant


## WTSO ratings are still biased



## PRICING HYPOTHESES

## Does WTSO exaggerate discounts?

- Exaggerating ‘original price’ (an admittedly endemic marketing practice) may increase WTP among naïve purchasers
- Does inflating 'original prices’ permit higher offer prices?
- 2011 analysis found average WTSO 'original price' exceeded average reported 'release price' by $\$ 11.56$
- Caveat: Based on 61\% of sample, and biased in favor of rated wines and CA wines


## Mean prices <br> by origin


means \& 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ confidence intervals

## Advertised discounts

 by origin
means \& 95th confidence intervals

## Discount from 'Yesterday's Best' by origin


means \& 95th confidence intervals

## Discount from contemporaneous Wine Searcher price by origin


means \& 95th confidence intervals

## DOES WTSO PROFIT FROMTHESE PRACTICES?

## More caveats

- Analysis is limited to publicly available data, which excludes sales volumes


## Regression model

- Dependent variable =WTSO Offer Price
- Independent variables ( N )
- Fixed effects
- Country/state dummy \& interactive variables (14)
- 'Yesterday's Best' (or if MV) WineSearcher price (I)
- Contemporaneous WineSearcher price trend - Test Variables
- Producer's Wishful Surplus
- Profit from disinformation about price
- Profit from misinformation about quality


## Variables explained

- Producer's Wishful Surplus
- Release Price - 'Yesterday's Best' Price or Contemporaneous Wine Searcher Price
- Disinformation about price
- = 'Original Price’ - Release Price
- Misinformation about quality
- = Avg WTSO-reported rating (WS/WA/ST) Wine Searcher Composite Rating
- Caveat:WS Composite Ratings include other 100-pt raters and 20-pt raters


## Regression Results (I)



## Regression Results (II)

|  | 2010 Analysis |  | 2014 Analysis |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjusted R ${ }^{2}$ | . 977 |  |  |  |
|  | B | Sig. | B | Sig. |
| PREVAILING MARKET PRICE |  |  |  |  |
| ALL | - | - |  |  |
| AR | -. 127 | . 948 |  |  |
| AU | -. 083 | . 377 |  |  |
| CA | . 700 | . 000 |  |  |
| ES | -. 262 | . 000 |  |  |
| FR | -. 289 | . 000 |  |  |
| IT | -. 101 | . 087 |  |  |
| PT | . 069 | . 680 |  |  |
| WA | . 505 | . 273 |  |  |

## Regression Results (III)

|  | 2011 Analysis |  | 2014 Analysis |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjusted $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | . 97 |  |  |  |
|  | B | Sig. | B | Sig. |
| PRODUCER'S WISHFUL SURPLUS |  |  |  |  |
| Release Price 'Yesterday's Best Web Price' | $.573$ | . 000 |  |  |
| PROFIT FROM DISINFORMATION ABOUT |  |  |  |  |
| ‘Original Price’ - Release Price | $.300$ | . 000 |  |  |

## Regression Results (IV)

|  | 2011 Analysis |  | 2014 Analysis |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjusted R ${ }^{2}$ | . 977 |  |  |  |
|  | B | Sig. | B | Sig. |
| PROFIT FROM MISINFORMATION ABOUT QUALITY |  |  |  |  |
| WTSO Rating - Composite Rating |  |  |  |  |
| AR | 6.860 | . 925 |  |  |
| AU | -. 463 | . 805 |  |  |
| CA | . 684 | . 554 |  |  |
| CH | -3.959 | . 615 |  |  |
| ES | . 534 | . 735 |  |  |
| FR | 10.641 | . 000 |  |  |
| IT | . 740 | . 601 |  |  |
| ZA | -2.622 | . 635 |  |  |

